1	TRANSCRIBED FROM DIGITAL RECORDING		
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
4	ERUBY ABREGO,		
5	Plaintiff,)		
6	vs.)	Case No. 23 C 1740	
7	REYNALDO GUEVARA, et al.,)		
8	Defendants.)		
9	JEREMIAH CAIN,	Case No. 23 C 14282	
10	Plaintiff,)		
11	VS.)		
12	REYNALDO GUEVARA, et al.,)	Chicago, Illinois July 9, 2025	
13	Defendants.)	9:59 A.M.	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Hearing BEFORE THE HONORABLE GABRIEL A. FUENTES, Magistrate Judge		
15	APPEARANCES:		
16	For Plaintiff Abrego: LOEVY & LOEVY		
17	311 North Aberde 3rd Floor		
18 19	Chicago, Illinoi BY: MS. RENEE S		
20	For Plaintiff Cain: BONJEAN LAW GROU 233 Broadway	JP, PLLC	
21	Suite 707 New York, New Yo	ork 10279	
22	BY: MR. JOSHUA		
23	PAMELA S. WARREN, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter - Retired		
24	23869 N. High Ridge Drive Lake Zurich, Illinois 60047		
25	312.823.0001		
	NOTE: Please notify of correct speaker ic	dentification.	

г		
1	APPEARANCES: Continu	ied
2	For Defendant Guevara	a: BORKAN & SCAHILL 20 South Clark Street
3		Suite 1700 Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: MS. MOLLY BOEKELOO
5	For Defendant Office	cs: THE SOTOS LAW FIRM, P.C.
6		141 West Jackson Boulevard Suite 1240a Chicago, Illinois 60604
7		BY: MS. ELIZABETH REDDINGTON FLEMING
8	For Defendant City:	ROCK FUSCO & CONNELLY LLC 333 West Wacker Drive
9		19th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 BY: MS. THERESA BEROUSEK CARNEY
11		DI. P.D. HILIMON DELOCOLIN CARANTI
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
1
         (Proceedings held in open court:)
2
             THE CLERK: 23 C 1740, Abrego versus Guevara, et al.;
3
    and 23 C 14282, Cain versus Guevara, et al., for motion
4
    hearing.
5
             THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you start with Abrego and
6
    have counsel make their appearances for our record.
7
             MS. SPENCE: Do you want to start with the plaintiff
8
    or --
9
             THE COURT: Plaintiff, go ahead. Start. Sure.
10
             MS. SPENCE: Good morning, your Honor. Renee Spence
11
    on behalf of plaintiff Abrego.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Attorney Spence.
13
             How about defense in Abrego. But I think it might be
14
    the same for Abrego and Cain.
15
             MS. CARNEY: It is the same for Abrego and Cain.
16
    Theresa Carney on behalf of the City of Chicago.
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             MS. FLEMING: Elizabeth Fleming on behalf of defendant
19
    Officers Wojcik, Engel, Bogucki, Schalk, Halvorsen, and
20
    Capatelli (phonetic).
21
             THE COURT: Okay. Hold on a second. Sometimes it is
22
    difficult to keep track of the players without a scorecard.
23
    And I like to have my pencils and scorecards ready. If any of
24
    you -- none of you remembers that expression, do you?
25
             MS. CARNEY: It is baseball.
```

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         Who?
2
             MS. CARNEY: Oh. I want to say Harry Caray, but I'm
3
    going to be wrong.
4
             THE COURT: Pat Pieper.
5
             MS. CARNEY: I have no idea.
6
             THE COURT: He was the PA address announcer. And at
7
    1:20 P.M., because that's when all the games were played --
8
             MS. CARNEY: Yep.
9
             THE COURT: -- at that ancient time -- the earth was
10
    actually a molten mass when I attended my first Cubs game.
11
    That's the first thing he would say is, ladies and gentlemen,
12
    get your pencils and scorecards ready.
13
             So counsel for the officer defendants, I didn't see
14
    your name in my notes and I couldn't quite hear your name well
15
    enough. Can you tell me again who you are?
16
             MS. FLEMING: Elizabeth Fleming.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. I had you in front of me
18
    before, and I'm sorry for not having the greatest memory. I
19
    remember Pat Pieper but not you. How insulting is that. Okay.
20
    Ms. Fleming, thank you.
             Who else do we have?
21
22
             MS. BOEKELOO: Molly Boekeloo on behalf of defendant
23
    Guevara.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. Good to see you.
25
             So anybody else for defense in the two matters?
```

```
1
             MS. SPENCE: No, your Honor.
2
             THE COURT: And then do we have somebody on the phone
3
    in the Cain matter, for plaintiff Cain?
4
             MS. SPENCE: He should be.
5
             MR. MORRISON: Yes, your Honor. This is Josh Morrison
6
    for Jeremiah Cain.
7
             THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else or just you,
8
    Mr. Morton [sic]?
9
             MR. MORRISON: I believe it is just me.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. Great.
11
             So we're here on this motion to compel the deposition
12
    of witness Tovar. And I guess, before we begin, I just wanted
13
    to elaborate a little bit on the order that I entered setting
14
    this up for today. Obviously, I was a little concerned that it
15
    took this amount of time for this issue to be presented to me
    given the phase of discovery that we're in.
16
17
             I have not had a chance to follow up with Judge
18
    Seeger's chambers about my recommendation that you receive
19
    until the end of August to complete discovery, only because we
20
    had a day-long settlement conference yesterday that went very
21
    long. My plan is to reach out to him today and seek -- see
22
    what he wants to do with that. It is -- I think it is likely
23
    that he'll give you until the end of August.
24
             But what that meant to me is that we should spend a
25
    minimum amount of time on motions that we could probably
```

```
1
    resolve, even by summoning you all here and having a discussion
2
    about it.
3
             We also checked the rules, and it did strike us that
4
    the burden for resisting this deposition really would rest with
5
    the party that wishes to resist it. And that's, I think, your
6
    sign, defense, and --
7
             MS. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor. And I -- you know,
8
    plaintiff makes several comments about that in their motion
9
    and --
10
             THE COURT: Do you disagree with that --
11
             MS. CARNEY: I don't disagree.
12
             THE COURT: -- preliminary principle?
13
             MS. CARNEY: Preliminarily, no, I do not disagree that
14
    it would have been our burden to move for a protective order.
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
16
             MS. CARNEY: However, given this posture of the case,
17
    if you recall, plaintiff uni- -- filed a motion to compel which
18
    had a deficient 37.2 conferral certification in it, which you
19
    denied based on that.
20
             THE COURT: Well --
21
             MS. CARNEY: That said --
22
             THE COURT: -- I don't know how deficient it was. It
23
    was just that it was so old -- that the motion got filed in
24
    June and the last conference had happened three months earlier.
25
    And my reaction was, come on, give them a call again. Let's
```

```
1
    get this resolved. And of course it didn't. But that's okay.
2
    So -- but I cut you off. Go ahead.
3
             MS. CARNEY: No. It -- it was deficient for other
4
    reasons that we didn't need to bring to your attention because
5
    you had denied the motion and told us to confer again.
6
             THE COURT: Yeah.
7
             MS. CARNEY: We conferred again, and plaintiff went
8
    ahead and filed based on your order their motion to compel.
9
             From my point of view, the situation involving
10
    Mr. Tovar is evolving because of his condition.
11
             THE COURT: Okay.
12
             MS. CARNEY: And we are learning --
13
             THE COURT: Let me hold you. Hold that for a minute.
14
             Given that it is evolving, that's something you're
15
    going to tell me about?
16
             MS. CARNEY: Yes.
17
             THE COURT: I'm looking at a likely discovery cutoff
18
    end of August. I'm looking at probably some further evolving
19
    information and other developments concerning witness Tovar.
20
    And I feel like I at least want to chart out a path for what we
21
    do next.
22
             And I did review the law, including the Stanek case,
23
    which I have a vague recollection might even be one of mine.
24
    But I was a little concerned about the nature of the letter
25
    that was submitted and that I asked to be filed and was filed
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doesn't know who he is.

```
under seal and its sufficiency. Because in my view, if a
    witness has difficulty with competency, that's more of
    a -- that's more of an admissibility at trial kind of matter as
    opposed to a nondiscoverability situation. Unless it turns out
    that there is reliable information documented that the person
    would actually be harmed. But it didn't look to me like the
    letter really established that. It is very brief.
             So given that you would have the burden on such a
    thing, I wanted to have the officer defendants begin by telling
    me why shouldn't I order Mr. Tovar's deposition to occur.
             MS. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor. Thank you for giving me
    the opportunity to discuss this --
             THE COURT:
                         Sure.
             MS. CARNEY: -- in this format.
             Mr. Tovar -- so the -- as I stated earlier, his
16
    condition is evolving. The letter was issued by his general
    practitioner's office in June.
             The reason that it is as brief as it is is because
    this diagnosis is brand new. Mr. Tovar was living alone in
    Indiana when his nephew got a phone call from one of
    Mr. Tovar's neighbors saying we found your uncle in the snow in
    the middle of January. He doesn't know where he is, and he
```

Mr. Tovar's nephew -- Mr. Tovar has no living relatives outside of his nephew. And his partner had passed.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so Mr. Tovar's nephew got out to Indiana and found a very dire situation, which was Mr. Tovar living in essentially hoarder's conditions with no basic -- completely unable to care for himself.

Mr. Tovar's nephew, being the only living relative, took matters into his own hands, moved him back to Chicago, got him into a facility, got power of attorney for his healthcare, and started getting him back into regular visits with his general practitioner.

At the time, Mr. Tovar had not seen his general practitioner in over two years. He -- of course, as we all know with the medical system at this moment, getting appointments is very difficult, and his general practitioner had taken a leave. So this Dr. Byers (phonetic), who wrote the letter, is another practitioner within the practice but is not his day-to-day practitioner.

By this -- when they go to the appointment, she did this initial cognitive test and has recommended a brain scan as well as an appointment with the memory and neurological center out of Northwestern.

THE COURT: Okay.

They were able to get a brain scan MS. CARNEY: scheduled for the end of the month, but they could not get in to the neurological -- memory and neurological center until --I think the next appointment is 10 months out.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have spoken at length with his nephew, MS. CARNEY: who if this Court would like, would be happy to file an affidavit, would be happy to come in and talk to this Court about his uncle's condition. But it is deteriorating and it is -- it is a very, very sad situation.

While Mr. Tovar understands who he is, most days he does not know where he is. He does not know what year it is. He does not know who the president is. He has no -- as it relates to his work at the police department, he does recall that he was a police officer but he cannot tell you what district he worked at for 40 years. He cannot tell you the name of his co-workers for the past 40 years. He cannot tell you that -- you know, what he did on a day-to-day basis.

THE COURT: Did you ask him if he remembered this polygraph exercise with witness Parra?

MS. CARNEY: I did not go into those specifics with his nephew. But I did ask him specifically if we were to say, you conducted this polygraph examination 30 years -- you know, here are your papers -- here are your papers, does this help? He said he would have no recollection of the fact that he even met this man.

THE COURT: So the nephew has a nonmedical layperson's --

MS. CARNEY: Correct.

```
1
             THE COURT: -- firsthand understanding of interactions
2
    with witness Tovar.
3
             MS. CARNEY: Correct. And he is working
4
    directly -- him and I are -- sorry, your Honor.
5
             THE COURT:
                         That's okay.
6
             MS. CARNEY: Apparently I got a little nervous there.
7
             THE COURT: I always try to remember to set the pen
8
    down at the podium because otherwise I found myself compelled
9
    to push a button, to do all kinds of -- and it became a
10
    distraction. And some very good --
11
             MS. CARNEY: I thought I would have to take notes,
12
    so...
13
             THE COURT: Yeah. Some very good partner that I
14
    worked with said, no, just set -- keep it with you if you need
15
    to write something, but don't have it in your hand. Set it
16
    down.
17
             MS. CARNEY: You would think that I am a baby
    attorney, that I don't know how to handle myself here. Sorry.
18
19
             THE COURT: No, you do. I didn't mean to imply
    otherwise.
20
21
             The other thing they taught me was to -- it is from
22
    ice skating. If you have ever skated, one of the first things
23
```

you're taught is to put your feet in a V position so you can push off the inside of those blades. And it is a -- you immediately maintain better balance. And I found -- and this

24

25

```
1
    partner who told me this said, if I can stand in a V position,
2
    I didn't float around as much.
3
             MS. CARNEY: That you didn't --
4
             THE COURT: Yeah. It helped me kind of anchor myself.
5
             MS. CARNEY: Yeah.
6
             THE COURT: Even to the point where I learned
7
    my -- the underside of my left hand -- I'm left handed -- I
8
    would put underneath the podium maybe to anchor myself a little
9
    bit. Anyway, this is an aside.
10
             But what you described is very helpful. The doctor on
11
    leave is on leave for how long?
12
             MS. CARNEY: So that's the next step that we're trying
13
    to figure out. I talked to Mr. Tovar's nephew yesterday, and
14
    his -- our hope was that we could get a more fulsome letter
15
    from Dr. Byers about his condition that outlines some of the
16
    day-to-day struggles that he has and outlines more of the
17
    cognitive impairment and dement- -- and specifics about the
18
    diagnosis of dementia.
19
             Dr. Byers is consulting with their legal department
20
    about what kind of letter she can provide.
21
             In the meantime, there is thought that his day-to-day
22
    general practitioner will be returning and that his care will
23
    be transferred back to him.
24
             In the meantime, the full recommendation from the
25
    practice is this brain scan, as well as getting him into the
```

memory clinic at Northwestern. And that isn't going to change regardless of which doctor it is.

THE COURT: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARNEY: But those things take time.

THE COURT: So, let's see. The Monell discovery aspect of the case has not really been scheduled yet. personal preference is not to bifurcate those because we wind up with a situation where we take a long time to complete fact, and we don't really know how long it will take to complete -- to Monell, if we ever do.

And I feel like that's a little bit where we're at I will have to see what you agree on. There was some indication in the report that the parties might not have to do that much. I don't want to misstate it. But we don't really know how much longer we'll have for Monell and expert. not really determined.

And we have got a 10-month window now for his memory clinic appointment. So I'm mildly concerned that he could even be completed, you know, within a reasonable time in this 2023 case. If we want to move cases along -- Rule 1 does suggest that we need to move cases along. So those -- that's a question I will need to resolve.

But the other question I had for you is brain scan end of July scheduled?

> MS. CARNEY: Yes. I believe that it is scheduled for

```
1
    July 30th is the brain scan.
2
             THE COURT: Okay. And the doctor -- who is the
3
    responsible physician concerning the brain scan? Is it Byers
4
    or is it someone else?
5
             MS. CARNEY: So Dr. Byers ordered the brain scan. I
6
    cannot recall right -- standing here right now what his
7
    (unintelligible) -- like who his original general practitioner
8
    was.
9
             THE COURT: Yeah.
10
             MS. CARNEY: I could get that information from his
11
    nephew.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Who is Dr. Byers in the world of
13
    neurology and cognition at Northwestern?
             MS. CARNEY: I don't believe --
14
15
             THE COURT: Department head? Who is she, do you know?
16
             MS. CARNEY: I don't. I believe she is --
17
             THE COURT: Okay.
18
             MS. CARNEY: -- not just a general -- she is the
19
    general prac- -- in the general practice --
20
             THE COURT: Okay.
21
             MS. CARNEY: -- which is why they have recommended --
22
             THE COURT: All right.
23
             MS. CARNEY: -- that he get into the neurology clinic.
24
             THE COURT: But she is a treating physician?
25
             MS. CARNEY: Correct.
```

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         Right now his treating physician.
2
             MS. CARNEY: Correct.
3
             THE COURT:
                         The person on leave, by being on leave not
4
    much help to us.
5
             MS. CARNEY: Correct.
6
             THE COURT: So when you're on leave in a case like
    this, it is almost like you don't exist because we need answers
7
8
    soon.
9
              So, okay. And the nephew -- so Byers is
10
    available -- that's the question, Byers's availability, Byers's
11
    availability for deposition. Getting a medical person to be
12
    available for a deposition can be difficult. Has that been
13
    discussed with her?
             MS. CARNEY: It has not been discussed with her. I am
14
15
    working through his nephew -- her -- his nephew right now as it
16
    relates to contact with her. We have tried to set up a Zoom
17
    call where I can be on the call with them so that we can
    discuss things more fully. It just hasn't -- with the holiday
18
19
    weekend last weekend --
20
             THE COURT: Yeah.
21
             MS. CARNEY: -- we weren't able to get that
22
    accomplished.
23
             THE COURT: Okay. Nephew's availability to supply an
24
    affidavit or be deposed --
25
             MS. CARNEY: He is --
```

THE COURT: -- we're good.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CARNEY: He has been very forthcoming with me and very -- and available when I need him. We discussed briefly yesterday whether or not he would be comfortable with providing an affidavit, and he said that at this point he will do an affidavit, he will come in and testify, he'll do what he needs to do.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CARNEY: Obviously, there -- initially there were a lot of concerns about airing -- you know, allowing this man to have some dignity as it relates to his diagnosis and not having this, you know, on the public record and out -- in this forum.

But his uncle -- or his nephew is now very concerned about his health and well being and is willing to -- and has given me the green light to say what I said today and is willing to sign an affidavit.

> THE COURT: Okay. So all that's very helpful. Anything else that you wish to add at this time,

Ms. Carney, Attorney Carney?

MS. CARNEY: I don't think so at this point. I think I -- the timeline is I think what is very important and, you know, where we're at -- where he is at mentally and cognitively and what his nephew is trying to do to keep his uncle in a safe environment.

```
1
             THE COURT:
                         Yeah. Do you have a diagnosis you can
2
    share that he has received?
3
             MS. CARNEY: He has been diagnosed with dementia.
4
             THE COURT: Dementia?
5
             MS. CARNEY: Yes.
6
             THE COURT: Okay. Anything from you, Ms. Fleming?
7
             MS. FLEMING: No, your Honor. Thank you.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Boekeloo, anything from
9
    you?
10
             MS. BOEKELOO: No, thank you.
11
             THE COURT: All right. Let me turn this over to
12
    Attorney Spence. And I have some initial thoughts based on
13
    this, but I want to -- I want to hold them and hold any
14
    conclusions until I have heard from you.
15
             So what's your reaction to what's been proffered? And
16
    I will tell you, you don't really have to persuade me of the
17
    importance to the case of what a recollection of Tovar, were it
18
    retrievable, would be. You don't really have to persuade me of
19
    that. And that's not really even been argued today.
20
              But tell me what you -- what's your view on
21
    compelling his deposition under the current scenario proffered.
22
             MS. SPENCE: My initial reaction is I feel a little
23
    frustrated, your Honor, just because we have been working on
24
    this since October, and today is the very first time that I
25
    have heard any -- the majority of this information.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
In the conferences that we have been having for
months, this is -- what we're trying to avoid is trying
to -- we're trying to avoid bringing these issues to your Honor
to see if we can resolve them. And that is why, even at the
most recent conferences, we asked for medical records. We
asked for some information, and we were flat -- the city flatly
refused.
         And so in light of what Ms. Carney has
represented -- and again, these are just solely the city's
representations -- they have provided absolutely no
documentation about any of this. For us to assess
independently, we are willing to take -- take a step back and
wait for the affidavit, see if we can depose the doctor. And
that was our -- that was our ask in the most recent conference
that we could get the medical records, that we could have
access --
         THE COURT: Okay.
```

MS. SPENCE: -- to the doctors.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SPENCE: So we're willing to do that. It is not our intent -- we are not trying to be unreasonable. We don't want to subject Mr. Tovar to anything that would be harmful to him or cause any stress.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SPENCE: We're just trying to make sure that we

```
1
    are covering our bases --
2
             THE COURT: All right.
3
             MS. SPENCE: -- and get the information that we can.
4
             THE COURT:
                         Then let me share some of my reactions
5
    with you then. The first is that given that, according to your
6
    own correspondence, as early as March 10 of this year you were
7
    hearing from the city that he had medical or health issues. I
8
    appreciate you're trying to resolve things without having to
9
    get court intervention, but that can be a red flag.
10
             And now we have -- in terms of the timing, we're today
11
    presented a little bit with the consequence of your not kind of
12
    pushing that to the fore earlier. And it appeared from the
13
    proffer that the situation in March might not be what the
14
    situation is today. That there appeared to be some kind of
15
    recent and significant worsening of his condition.
16
             Accurate, Attorney Carney, or no?
17
                          I would disagree with that, your Honor,
             MS. CARNEY:
18
    in that it is not known what his condition was in March.
                                                              My
19
    understanding actually is that --
20
             THE COURT: You were telling them there were health
21
             So what did you know in March?
    issues.
22
             MS. CARNEY: So that's what I was --
23
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
24
             MS. CARNEY: Mr. Tovar was represented by another
25
    counsel, another outside counsel for the City of Chicago, and
```

```
1
    he was given a deposition back in -- he gave a deposition to
2
    Loevy & Loevy, plaintiff's law firm --
3
             THE COURT: Tovar did?
4
             MS. CARNEY: Yes. -- back in September of last year.
5
             MS. SPENCE: October.
6
             THE COURT: In this case?
7
             MS. CARNEY: October of last year.
8
             THE COURT: In this case?
9
             MS. CARNEY: In a different --
             THE COURT: Different case.
10
11
             MS. CARNEY: In an unrelated case.
12
             THE COURT: So he was never asked about the polygraph
13
    of Parra?
14
             MS. CARNEY: Correct.
15
             THE COURT:
                         Okay.
16
             MS. CARNEY: But it is my understanding, at that
17
    deposition, the attorney that represented him started to notice
18
    some very serious cognitive issues. And there were very
19
    serious concerns about his testimony in that deposition.
20
             I don't know what was communicated between that
21
    attorney and his nephew.
22
             THE COURT: That's okay. I'm just stopping you
23
    because I thought what you said about the incident of getting
24
    found in the snow and not knowing who he was, where he was,
25
    what district he worked with, I thought that was fairly
```

```
1
    recent --
2
             MS. CARNEY: That happened --
3
             THE COURT: -- meaning just this past winter?
4
             MS. CARNEY:
                          That happened -- so this deposition goes
5
    in October.
                 They are able to get him to sit for his deposition
6
    in October. And then the incident -- and there is a very
7
    serious evidence of decline in October.
8
             And then the incident where he gets lost happens in
9
    January.
10
             THE COURT: Okay.
11
             MS. CARNEY: And that's when the nephew gets involved.
12
             THE COURT: Right.
13
             MS. CARNEY: And at that point it is clear that
14
    cognitive failure had started.
15
             THE COURT: Yeah.
16
             MS. CARNEY: He just wasn't seeing a doctor.
17
             THE COURT: All right. But my point is a little bit
18
    the same, Attorney Spence, which is probably we needed to push
19
    this -- you needed to push this sooner. Certainly sooner to
20
    the end of the discovery period.
21
             And I know what it is like. Sometimes it is hard to
22
    get to everything. But I think, you know, now the Court's got
23
    a little bit of a fire drill situation. And I appreciate that
24
    you're frustrated. But I don't know that there is a basis for
25
    me to think that anything was really materially withheld from
```

you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They told you, at least in January, that he was having health issues. If that's all they said -- I wish they would have said more. But I'm not really bothered by that because I think you had enough to come to us sooner.

That said, I'm not prepared to just deny the motion on that basis. And what you have said was very helpful, Attorney Spence, that you're willing to step back for the moment, plaintiff is, willing to receive whatever affidavits may be tendered; confer with counsel about a deposition of a treating physician, if that's what you want; and possibly obtain an affidavit or deposition testimony from the nephew.

I don't -- as your discovery supervisor, I am not inclined to force you to accept counsel's representations as -on faith. That's not to say I doubt them. I think she's made a number of very specific representations that go way farther than the Byers's letter. And significantly farther in that what counsel Carney has described, Attorney Spence, is a severe enough set of circumstances that I worry that it takes us beyond this person might not be competent enough to testify. But we can still subject the person to a deposition. I worry that it begins to sound like could only be harmful if he is as bad off as Attorney Carney has stated.

And I worry that with treatment still evolving, with a brain scan set at the end of this month, that there will be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

soon a better knowledge base and a greater ability for the defense to provide something more concrete.

This letter of Dr. Byers is not sufficient. But there may be more in a month's time. And so my thought is, I'm going to deny the motion but without prejudice. I'm going to allow you to confer further.

I'm going to want a status report on -- let's see. August 10th is a Sunday. August 9th. August 8th. I will be out of town at that time. But if you can get it to me by noon on Friday, August 8th, on what is going on, what progress did you make in figuring out what his condition really is; and would he be harmed; does the physician believe that he would or could be harmed by being required to testify?

That gets us even farther than what anybody would do -- as counsel for witness Tovar, is he currently represented, Attorney Carney?

MS. CARNEY: Yes, your Honor. The City of Chicago represents him.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MS. CARNEY: So I am his attorney.

THE COURT: So Attorney Spence, think of that. If you are representing a witness in that situation, you might normally prepare a witness for a deposition by spending a few hours, half a day, sometimes a day, sometimes more than a day, depending. This strikes me as a pretty narrow set of questions

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

he would be asked. What did these notes mean? What does a checkmark mean? What's this, I can't read it, can you tell me? And did you really examine this person? And why did you believe there was deception? And is it phony baloney or not? Because your position is it is phony baloney, to coin an expression.

There is some preparation involved. And for someone with a significant cognitive decline, they will probably need a little more time to prepare.

So I want to kind of leave the field wide open right now, even to when this deposition happens, because I believe that if Judge Seeger gives you till the end of August -- but if I recommend that you be given additional time beyond that date to do witness Tovar, that Judge Seeger will be okay with that, I believe. And I think we need to keep that flexibility open.

But what I would encourage you to consider, Attorney Spence, is between now and August 8th, and in your conferences with counsel, just consider whether you want to chase this. You know, how much information, how much verification do you really need? Did you get enough to suggest that this is maybe not worth doing? Because we are talking about dragging someone with probably a significant health condition into a deposition room possibly to ask them a bunch of questions that they will have no idea what the answers are. And you know, I -- I don't feel like that's a great exercise in proportionality under Rule 20. I really don't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But figure out what that pathway looks like. And if you still wish to depose, and you can't get agreement at that point, I'll hear the motion again, but based on the new information. Because I don't really have enough to grant or deny this today.

And I really assumed wrongly on reading Exhibit 1 that we are kind of at the full state of knowledge on Tovar's condition. We're not. There is more diagnostic work to be done.

So let's be cognizant of his health conditions. Attorney Spence, you have been great in acknowledging that, and I appreciate it. But I'm going to deny the motion now without prejudice.

Status report due noon, August 8th. And I think that might be all we need to talk about today.

But first, Attorney Morton, anything you want to add on behalf of Mr. Cain?

MR. MORRISON: No, your Honor. But we do join in Attorney Spence's arguments and motion.

THE COURT: Okay. And I have a question for you, Attorney Morton, and that is, the Abrego motion -- Abrego brought this motion. Abrego and Cain have very similar allegations. And I didn't really spend the time running down in the complaint, Attorney Morton, whether Cain says that the

```
1
    alleged fabrication of the polygraph result was also used to
2
    his detriment to get Parra to implicate him. It looked like
3
    from Parra's statement that Parra did implicate Cain.
4
             So do you essentially have the same view as Attorney
5
    Spence that this affects your client too?
6
             MR. MORRISON: Yes, your Honor, exactly the same
7
    thing.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. I just want --
9
             MR. MORRISON: And for the record -- and for the
10
    record, Judge, it is Morrison, M-O-R-R-I-S-O-N.
11
             THE COURT: Oh, I'm terribly sorry. Hold on a minute.
12
             MR. MORRISON: That's okay.
13
             THE COURT: I heard Morton, but it is Morrison. And
14
    you're also not on my list. Are you with Bonjean Law Group?
15
             MR. MORRISON: I am.
16
             THE COURT: Okay. And I'm sorry. What's your first
17
    name?
18
             MR. MORRISON: Josh.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. Gosh -- Josh. I have got it now in
20
    my notes. We'll hopefully remember you better next time, as
21
    well as Ms. Fleming remember you better than Pat Pieper.
22
             Anything else you want to add, Attorney Morrison?
23
             MR. MORRISON: No, Judge. Thank you so much.
24
             THE COURT: Okay. So that's our ruling. And I don't
25
    know that there is anything else that was up today. I don't
```

```
1
    think there was.
2
             Plaintiff?
3
             MS. SPENCE: Not from plaintiff, your Honor.
4
             THE COURT: Attorney Morrison?
5
             MR. MORRISON: No, Judge. Thank you.
6
             THE COURT: Defense attorneys?
7
             MS. CARNEY: Nothing from the defense.
8
             THE COURT: Okay. Adjourned. Thank you, everyone --
9
             MS. CARNEY: Thank you so much.
10
             THE COURT: -- for your candor and forthrightness
11
    today. Very much appreciated.
12
             MS. CARNEY: Thank you, your Honor.
13
         (Which concluded the proceedings.)
14
                              CERTIFICATE
15
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
16
    from the digital recording of proceedings in the above-entitled
17
    matter to the best of my ability, given the limitation of using
    a digital-recording system.
18
19
20
21
    /s/Pamela S. Warren
                                            July 30, 2025
    Official Court Reporter - Retired
                                                   Date
22
    United States District Court
    Northern District of Illinois
23
    Eastern Division
24
25
```